SITE NAME: LArsm AFB Titan Missile Facility 5-3 EPA ID # WAN 00/002636			
ALIAS SITE NAME (S):			
LATITUDE: 46° 54' 29" N LONGITUDE: 119° 45' 15" W			
ADDRESS: Perga Section 1/Township 16N/Range 24E			
CITY: ROYAL CITY COUNTY: GRANT STATE WA			
REPORT TYPE: PA REPORT DATE: Augus + 2006 REPORT LEAD: EPA			
REPORT developed by:			
DECISION:			
/X / 1. Further Remedial Site Assessment under CERCLA (Superfund) is <u>not</u> required because: /X / 1a. Site does not qualify for further remedial // 1b. Site may qualify for further // RCRA			
/X/la. Site does not qualify for further remedial //lb. Site may qualify for further //RCRA site assessment under CERCLA action, but is deferred to: //NRC			
(No Further Remedial Action Planned by EPA- NFRAP)			
/ /2. Further Assessment Needed Under CERCLA:			
2b. Activity / / PA / / ESI / / RDT meeting needed			
/ / Combined PA/SI / / HRS Evaluation / / mini-RDT meeting needed / / SI / Integrated Assessment / / Other			
/ / Site Reassessment / / Removal Assessment			
Discussion / Rationale: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has determined that no further action by the Federal Superfund program is warranted at the referenced site, at this time. A NFRAP designation means that no additional steps under the Federal Superfund program will be taken at the site unless new information warranting further Superfund consideration or conditions not previously known to EPA regarding the site are discovered. If new or additional information becomes available suggesting that this site may warrant further consideration by the Federal Superfund program, EPA will reevaluate this site accordingly. This NFA decision does not relieve the facility from complying with state, local or other federal requirements.			
Other site-specific decisions (include date for each decision):			
8/17/2006 Archive (NFRAP Flag)-All Federal Superfund Work Completed, i.e., no further Superfund interest			
(The Archive should only be used once the site is NFRAP'd for site assessment work AND no further remedial, removal, enforcement, cost recovery, or oversight activities are planned or conducted at the site. Include in site file documentation showing that removal/enforcement/cost recovery activities, if any at the site, are closed out. Email responses from the enforcement/removal programs are acceptable documentation.)			
Excluded from Eligible Response Sites (As defined by the Brownfields Law of 2002) - The EPA region, after consulting with the relevant state agency, has determined that this site is to be excluded from the definition of an eligible response site. Include in the CERCLA site file a copy of the written communications with the state and the written determination.			
No Further Federal Action (NFFA) as defined in the Smill Puriness Linking Device at Description			
No Further Federal Action (NFFA) - as defined in the Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act (Brownfields Law of 2002). CERCLA Section 101(41)(C)(i) authorizes EPA to make a site which was previously excluded, because it had obtained a preliminary score sufficient for possible listing, an eligible response site again. If a site has any planned or ongoing enforcement, cost recovery or removal activities, an NFFA determination will not be allowed.			
NFFA or ERS Exclusion concurrence by Ofc of Regional Counsel:			
NFFA or ERS Exclusion decision made by:			
Unit Manager, Site Assessment/Cleanup Unit 2			
/X/ FINAL ASSESSMENT DECISION (FAD)			
(Check if this decision meets the following GPRA definition: NFRAP; Deferred to NRC; Referred to Removal (NFRAP); Addressed as part of another NPL site or Addressed as part of another Non-NPL site; a State deferral action is completed; an NPL listing action has occurred; or the site has been archived after some Site Assessment Action). The final decision date is the site decision date below.			
Report Reviewed/Site Decision Made by: Date: 8/17/2004			
EPA Region 10 Site Assessment Manager			

Region 10 Revision of EPA Form # 9100-4, September 2004

Selecting Site Types

Site Types

Listing of Main Categories and Subcategories

Manufacturing/processing/maintenance

Chemicals and allied products

Radioactive products

Primary metals/mineral processing

Oil and gas retining

Metal fabrication/finishing/coating and allied industries

Lumber and wood products/pulp and paper

Lumber and wood products wood preserving/treatment

Plastics and rubber products

Electronic/electrical equipment

Electric power generation and distribution

Coal gasification

Ordnance production

Coke production

Trucks/ships/trains/aircraft and related components

Tanneries

Fabrics/textiles

Mining

Coal

Oil and Gas

Metals

Non-metal minerals

Waste Management

Municipal solid waste landfill

Industrial waste landfill

Co-disposal landfill (municipal and industrial)

Industrial waste facility (non-generator)

Radioactive waste treatment, storage, disposal (non-generator)

Mine tailings disposal

Illegal disposal/open dump

Recycling

Batteries/scrap metals/secondary smelting/precious metal recovery

Waste/used oil

Automobiles/tires

Drums/tanks

Chemicals/chemical waste (e.g., solvent recovery)

Other

Treatment works/septic tanks/other sewage treatment

Transportation (e.g., railroad yards, airport, barge docking site)

Product storage/distribution

Ground water plume site

Contaminated sediment site

Retail/commercial

Agricultural (e.g., grain elevator)

Spill or other one-time event

William

Research, development, and testing facility

Dust control

Non-NPL status value	NF_	See Hq reference guide
Priority [] Low [] High	(A) NFRAP
SPECIAL INITIATIVE (Check all that	apply):	
Environmental Justice Indicator	. [:]	
Explosives and Ordnance Site		
Formerly Used Defense Site	[]	
Tribal Concerns	[\d	
state, or a tribe performs the - These sites are determined	e, where no tribe to they are local assessment or by the site mar	e plays an active role. ed in relation to tribal lands, and regardless of whether EPA, a has not performed any assessment to date. nager and regional tribal liaisons, in discussions with the tribes. point after that at which a tribe expresses that the site is of
Tribal Involvement Sites that are of concern to a	[] tribe, where a	tribe plays an active role.
Tribal Land Definition from HQ needed.	[]	
OWNER/OPERATOR TYPE (check a	all that apply):	
Bank/Loan Company	·[]	
County Owned	[]	
District Owned	[]	
Federally Owned	[.]	
Formerly Federally Owned or Operate	d [X)	
Government Owned/Contractor Opera	ated []	
Indian Land	[]	Definition from HQ needed
Mixed Ownership	[]	•
Municipality	[]	
Other	[]	
Private	[-]	
Privately Owned/Government Operate	d []	
State Owned	[.]	
Unknown	[]	
LEAD (check one):		
EPA In-House	[]	e.g. report is developed by EPA employee
EPA Fund-Financed	[],	e.g. report is developed by authorized EPA contractor
State Lead, EPA Fund-Financed	[]	e.g. report is developed by state under Cooperative Agmt w/ EPA
State, No EPA Fund Money	[]	
Tribal Lead, EPA Fund Financed	[]	Tribal Governments as action lead, where a tribe plays more than an active role